

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,219	07/10/2003	Tien-I Bao	252011-1390	4415	
47390 75	7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
•	AYDEN, HOSTEMEY	GOUDREAU, GEORGE A			
100 GALLERI. SUITE 1750	APAKKWAI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, G	A 30339		1763	<u>.</u>	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ń

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/618,219		BAO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		George A. Go		1763				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHI0 - Exte after - if N0 - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING D	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex c, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	une 2005.						
,—		action is non-	final.					
3)								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamasit	·							
	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.							
<b>5</b> \ \	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consi	deration.					
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>31-43</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>24-25, and 27-30</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requ	iirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			-(d) or (f).				
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
			, ,	<u> </u>	l Ctana			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	<del>-</del>		ed in this National	Stage			
* (	application from the International Bureau	·						
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list .	or the certified	copies not receive	GEORG PRIMAI	EBOUDREAU EXAMINER -19-051			
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ	Interview Summer		' '			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT	O-152)			
- Раре 	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/618,219

Art Unit: 1763

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 2

- Claims 1-2, 5-9, 11, 14-16, 18-21, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bao et. al. (2004/0087164) as applied in paragraph 4 of the previous office action.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/618,219 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

5. Claims 3-4, 10, 12-13, 17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied in paragraph 7 of the previous office action.

- 6. Claims 24-25, and 27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 31-43 are allowed.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 6-22-05' have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the following points regarding the examiner's rejection of their claimed subject matter.

-The reference which was used in the last office action under both 102 e, and 103 cannot properly be used to reject the claims in the present application based upon the following. The assignee of both the reference, and the present application are the same. Further, the attorney for applicant states that the present application was reduced to practice prior to the filling date of the reference, which was used by the examiner to reject applicant's claims. The examiner must disagree.

-The inventive entity of the reference, which was used by the examiner to reject applicant's claims, is different from that of the present application. Thus, the patent used by the examiner to reject applicant's claims is prior art under 102 e. Further, the applicant may not swear behind the filing date of the patent used to

Application/Control Number: 10/618,219 Page 4

Art Unit: 1763

reject applicant's claims using statements submitted by the attorney for applicant. Rather, a properly executed sworn affidavit from all of the inventors listed in the present application would need to be submitted in order to swear behind the filing date of the of the patent used to reject applicant's claims in the pending application. Further, the assignee, and all of the inventors listed in the present application are not from the US but are from a foreign country (i.e.-Tawain). Applicant is therefore not entitled to swear behind the date of a reference, which was used to reject their claims in the present application since they are not from the US.

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,219

Art Unit: 1763

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner

George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

George A. Goodreau

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763